

MEDIA RELEASE

February 25, 2008

Media Contact:
Rebecca Bergeron
832-381-6073

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

NEW PLANS EMERGE FOR HAZARD WASTE DISPOSAL IN MONTGOMERY COUNTY

Montgomery County, Texas. In a filing with the Office of the Secretary of State of Texas, dated January 31, 2008, Robert S. May, Registered Agent for Foxborough Energy Company, LLC, has set forth a new entity, dba Montgomery County Environmental Solutions. The company's plans are stated in their application for Disposal of Hazardous Waste Material.

Foxborough Energy recently acquired a 60% interest in TexCom, a company already in the process of permit approval through the TCEQ for disposal of non-hazardous waste in Montgomery County. What is unclear, but seems likely is that if TexCom receives approval to move forward with non-hazardous waste disposal, Montgomery County Environmental Solutions would step in and change that charter to include hazardous waste disposal.

Foxborough has incorporated more than 8 different entities under the Foxborough name in the past year. These entities range from Foxborough Ammo, LLC, to Foxborough Funding, LLC, to Foxborough Energy. Foxborough Funding, who has only been incorporated since February 22, 2007, is being investigated by the Oklahoma Securities Board for possible securities violations.

There continues to be strong public opposition to the proposed injection well in Montgomery County. Residents fear the current planned site poses major risks to underground aquifers, which supply fresh drinking water to 54 counties along the Gulf Coast of Texas. The proposed site is also known to have numerous abandoned oil wells, fault lines, and fissures – All capable of creating conduits for leakage of hazardous material into the water supply.

There is also public concern that neither Foxborough nor TexCom have the focus or experience in operating hazardous or non-hazardous Class I injection wells. This raises grave questions as to the company's ability to ensure the safe operation of such a facility, and the catastrophic consequences a failure could pose to millions of people along the Texas Gulf Coast.

Recent information came to light that Montgomery County Environmental Solutions was incorporated first in Oklahoma City, OK on December 11, 2007. This filing occurred just one day prior to the start of the SOAH hearings regarding TexCom's contested permit request to the TCEQ, which began on December 12 in Conroe. The name has raised some eyebrows locally, given there is no Montgomery County in Oklahoma, but there is one in Texas where the company plans to do business.

Also of interest is the fact that the newly formed Montgomery County Environmental Solutions registered to do business in Texas on January 31, 2008. This date fell just past the cut-off date set for legal teams to include this new information for the SOAH to review and include in their legal ruling, to be forwarded to the TCEQ in April.

These actions have left residents concerned that TexCom and Foxborough may be working closely to pull a "fast one" on the people of Montgomery County, Texas. Residents point out that the name is not only misleading, given their intent for hazardous waste disposal, but will likely cause the company to be confused with an already existing county agency, Montgomery County Environmental Services.

Lisa Howard, a resident of Crighton Ridge and a volunteer helping to stop toxic wells in Montgomery County said, "Where I come from they would say that you can put lipstick and pearls on a pig, but it's still a pig."

For more information about the proposed injection well in Montgomery County, and to learn more about how you can help keep toxic injection wells out of Montgomery County, visit www.StoptheToxicWells.com.